


AD-A269 117




USAARL Report No. 93-26



**Analysis of Seating and Restraint Limitations
Restricting Total Body Weight
for Aircrew and Passengers
on U.S. Army Helicopters**

By

James E. Bruckart
Joseph R. Licina
Samuel G. Shannon



Impact, Protection, and Tolerance Division

and

Joseph L. Haley, Jr.
SAIC, Inc.

July 1993

80 7 20 031

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



93-21015

23 pr

United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory
Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362-5292

Notice

Qualified requesters

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DTIC.

Change of address

Organizations receiving reports from the U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory on automatic mailing lists should confirm correct address when corresponding about laboratory reports.


Disposition

Destroy this document when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.


Disclaimer


The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation. Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial items.

Reviewed:


JOHN V. BARSON
LTC, MC, SFS
Director, Impact, Tolerance,
and Protection Division

Released for publication:


ROGER W. WILEY, D.D., Ph.D.
Chairman, Scientific
Review Committee


DAVID H. KARNEY
Colonel, MC, SFS
Commanding

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
|--|-------|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified | | | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS | | |
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY | | | 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited | | |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE | | | | | |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) USAARL Report No. 93-26 | | | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) | | |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory | | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SGRD-UAD-IE | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command | | |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P.O. Box 620577 Fort Rucker, AL 36362-0577 | | | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21702-5012 | | |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION | | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER | | |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) | | | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS | | |
| PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 0602787A | | PROJECT NO. 3M162787A8 | TASK NO. 8 AG | WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 138 | |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Analysis of seating and restraint limitations restricting total body weight for aircrew and passengers on U.S. Army helicopters | | | | | |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) James E. Bruckart, Joseph R. Licina, S. G. Shannon, and J. L. Haley, Jr. | | | | | |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT | | 13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____ | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1993 July | | 15. PAGE COUNT 13 |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION | | | | | |
| 17. COSATI CODES | | | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) | | |
| FIELD | GROUP | SUB-GROUP | Aviation Life Support Equipment, restraint, aircrew, helicopters, aircraft seats, survival vest | | |
| 23 | 05 | | | | |
| 01 | 03 | | | | |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) | | | | | |
| <p>Aviation Life Support Equipment (ALSE) and crashworthy seating protect the crew and passengers in military aircraft. If the user exceeds the design weight or size range for personal protective equipment, it may not function properly. The distribution and changes in body weight and relevant anthropometric measures were evaluated for soldier and aviator groups. Current ALSE and aircraft restraints were surveyed to determine the largest available sizes. Each aircraft seat was evaluated to determine the crash strength and maximum allowable weight for a given crash pulse.</p> <p>The 99th percentile male soldier and aviator weigh 237.5 and 228.2 pounds, respectively. The average body weight increases with age for the male aviator population. The largest available flight suit (size 48L), SRU-21/P survival vest, and webbing restraints accommodate a 47-inch waist circumference.</p> | | | | | |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS | | | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION | | |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL | | | 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) | | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL |

Table of contents

| | Page |
|---------------------------------|------|
| List of figures | 2 |
| List of tables | 2 |
| Introduction | 3 |
| Background | 3 |
| Materials and methods | 5 |
| Results | 6 |
| Discussion | 8 |
| Summary | 11 |
| References | 12 |

| | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Accession For | |
| NTIS CRA&I | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC TAB | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unannounced | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Justification | |
| By | |
| Distribution / | |
| Availability Codes | |
| Dist | Avail and/or Special |
| A-1 | |

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

List of figures

| Figure | Page |
|---|------|
| 1. Distribution of body weights among male and female pilots | 4 |

List of tables

| Table | Page |
|--|------|
| 1. Anthropometric limits for disqualification on Class 1 and 1A flight physicals | 3 |
| 2. Distribution of body weight (pounds) among male and female soldiers and aviators | 6 |
| 3. Weight of standard items worn by U.S. Army pilots and crew | 7 |
| 4. Distribution of waist circumference (inches) among male and female soldiers and aviators | 7 |
| 5. Seat crash strength (pounds) under quasi-static loads . | 9 |
| 6. Seat static test requirements | 10 |
| 7. Maximum weight (pounds) for aircraft seats | 10 |

Introduction

Army aviation provides a flexible and mobile means to project combat power. Every soldier has specific tasks that must be completed to ensure survival and victory on the battlefield. The considerable expense required to train and equip our soldiers makes each a valuable asset. The injury or loss of any soldier represents a significant loss in the battlefield commander's warfighting capacity.

Aviation Life Support Equipment (ALSE) and crashworthy components of new military aircraft have been developed to protect the crew and passengers. However, for these components to work correctly, each user must be within the physical design parameters for the particular component. If an aircrew member or passenger exceeds the design weight and/or size range for the personal protective equipment, the equipment may not function properly. Malfunction of personal protective equipment can permit excess morbidity and/or mortality.

Background

The weight of a U.S. Army servicemember is regulated in accordance with Army Regulation (AR) 600-9, The Army weight control program, and AR 40-501, Standards of medical fitness (1989). The standard states a male soldier can weigh from a minimum of 100 pounds to a maximum of 250 pounds or up to 26 percent body fat (age 40 and over). A female soldier can weigh from a minimum of 90 pounds to a maximum of 227 pounds or up to 34 percent body fat (age 40 and over). The distribution of body weight for male and female soldiers has been studied by Gordon et al. (1989).

Initial flight physicals for pilots also require applicants to fall between several minimum and maximum anthropometric measures to ensure that they are able to reach the controls in Army aircraft. (Schopper, 1986) These measures and limits are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1.

Anthropometric limits for disqualification
on Class 1 and 1A flight physicals.

| Anthropometric measure | Disqualified if ... |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| Total arm reach | Less than 164 cm |
| Crotch height | Less than 75 cm |
| Sitting height | Greater than 102 cm |

Limits on anthropometric measures have resulted in a pilot population with a different body weight distribution from the Army in general.

Most Army aircraft systems and ALSE were designed to accommodate the 5 percent to 95 percent male aviator in relevant anthropometric measures. Today, these measures may be invalid due to aviator selection bias, aging of the pilot population, and an increased number of female pilots. For example, a comparison of body weight for the male and female pilot population groups is presented in Figure 1. As a result of these changes, current ALSE may not fit some of the aircrew at the extremes of anthropometric measures and crashworthy seating may not function properly for an individual with a body weight above or below the design weight.




Figure 1. Distribution of body weights among male and female pilots (Donelson and Gordon, 1991).

This study evaluates body weight distributions for the soldier and aviator populations, currently available ALSE, and design weight limits for aircraft seating. These factors are analyzed to determine body weight design limits and effects of restricting total body weight for passengers and crew in U.S. Army aircraft.

Materials and methods

Soldier and aviator weight distributions

The 1988 anthropometric survey of U.S. Army personnel (Gordon et al., 1989) was used to obtain representative distributions of total body weight for soldiers and aircrew. A separate study of the Aviation Epidemiology Data Registry (AEDR) was used to detect the trend in weight change for aircrew since 1988 and the differences in body weight among active duty, National Guard, and Army Reserve aviators (Shannon, Bruckart, and Mason, 1993).

Survey of aviation life support equipment and restraints

The available sizes of personal aviation life support equipment were surveyed. The largest size of each item was examined to determine if persons with a large body weight would have difficulty donning, doffing, or wearing the equipment. The length of the restraint webbing was measured in several aircraft to determine available webbing to accommodate individuals with a large abdominal girth.

Survey of aircraft seats and maximum weight calculations

The design strength and static strength for troop and crew seats for each U.S. Army aircraft was determined from the engineering design specifications and prior testing. This included both rigid and energy-absorbing (crashworthy) crew and troop seats. The strength of each seat was divided by the impact load factor (Table 6) to obtain the maximum allowable seat weight using the method specified in the Aircraft crash survival design guide (Zimmermann and Merritt, 1989). The weight of the seat and aviator clothing was subtracted from the maximum allowable seat weight to obtain the calculated maximum nude weight for the seat occupant. The clothing and equipment weights were determined by weighing representative equipment. As specified in the design guide, the maximum nude weight is increased by 25 percent for vertical (downward) impacts to account for the weight of the legs supported by the floor in these crashes.

Results

Soldier and aviator weight distributions

The weight distribution for male and female U.S. Army soldier and aviator groups are presented in Table 2.

Table 2.

Distribution of body weight (pounds) among male and female soldiers and aviators.
(Gordon et al., 1989; Donelson and Gordon, 1991)

| | Male soldiers | Female soldiers | Male aviators | Female aviators |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Mean | 173.0 | 136.7 | 175.9 | 144.1 |
| Standard deviation | 24.5 | 18.4 | 21.2 | 18.8 |
| Minimum | 104.9 | 91.0 | 125.1 | 102.1 |
| Maximum | 281.8 | 213.1 | 249.9 | 212.7 |
| 1st % | 121.9 | 99.7 | 132.5 | 105.2 |
| 5th % | 135.8 | 109.4 | 143.7 | 115.8 |
| 50th % | 171.3 | 135.0 | 174.4 | 142.4 |
| 95th % | 216.2 | 169.7 | 213.8 | 177.6 |
| 99th % | 237.5 | 186.7 | 228.2 | 196.2 |

Study of the AEDR (Shannon, Bruckart, and Mason, 1993) shows the average age of the aviator population has increased since 1988. Along with the increase in age, there has been a corresponding increase in average body weight.

Aviation life support equipment and restraints

The weight of standard items worn by U.S. Army pilots is shown in Table 3. The largest U.S. Army flight suit (size 48L) will accommodate a waist circumference up to 47 inches. The personal survival vest is adjustable and also accommodates a waist circumference of 47 inches. The UH-60 pilot seat will accommodate an abdominal girth of 48 inches within the seat pan and restraint webbing. The UH-60 crew seat and troop seat will accommodate 54 and 48 inches abdominal girth, respectively. The

pilot seat in the AH-64 will accommodate a 48-inch abdominal girth. The distribution of waist circumference for the Army in general and aviator populations are shown in Table 4 (Gordon et al., 1989, and Donelson and Gordon, 1991).

Table 3.

Weight of standard items worn by U.S. Army pilots and crew.

| Item | Weight (pounds) |
|--|-----------------|
| Flight helmet, SPH-4B | 2.9 |
| Flight suit (Nomex coveralls) | 3.1 |
| Boots | 4.0 |
| Gloves | 0.3 |
| Flashlight | 0.1 |
| Survival vest, SRU-21/P with contents | 7.3 |
| Total | 17.7 |

Table 4.

Distribution of waist circumference (inches) among male and female soldiers and aviators.
(Gordon et al., 1989; Donelson and Gordon, 1991)

| | Male soldiers | Female soldiers | Male aviators | Female aviators |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Mean | 33.95 | 31.18 | 34.98 | 32.15 |
| Standard deviation | 3.40 | 3.26 | 2.99 | 3.53 |
| Minimum | 25.75 | 24.02 | 27.17 | 25.20 |
| Maximum | 46.65 | 43.62 | 46.85 | 43.27 |
| 1st % | 27.42 | 25.36 | 28.85 | 25.96 |
| 5th % | 28.84 | 26.60 | 30.16 | 27.13 |
| 50th % | 33.68 | 30.75 | 34.94 | 31.73 |
| 95th % | 40.00 | 37.26 | 39.96 | 38.66 |
| 99th % | 42.39 | 40.40 | 41.59 | 41.21 |

Aircraft seats

The available data on the crash strength of crew and troop seats for Army aircraft are shown in Table 5. The minimum load factor, from MIL-S-58095A, for static seat tests is shown in Table 6. The calculated maximum soldier weight for each aircraft seat is shown in Table 7.

Discussion

The anthropometric data for the Army in general and aviator groups show that male soldiers are 25 percent heavier on average than female soldiers. Likewise, average body weight increases with age in the male aviator group.

The restraint webbing will accommodate at least 48 inches waist circumference in the UH-60 pilot seat and the AH-64 pilot seat. This is greater than the maximum measured waist circumference (46.85 in) from the Natick anthropometry studies. The largest flight suit and survival vest also will accommodate a soldier with this abdominal girth (up to 47 in).

Only the pilot seats in the UH-60 and AH-64 meet the static strength required by MIL-S-58095A. Most of the other seats fail first with the 35 G load along the X (forward) axis. These older aircraft were designed and fielded with less stringent crash performance designs. In fact, most of these airframes will not maintain structural integrity and livable space when the floor sustains a 35 G crash load. Future aircraft seats are expected to meet or exceed these performance requirements. Therefore, the recommended maximum weight should be based on a seat and aircraft which is expected to maintain a survivable space and environment under a 95th percentile crash loading as stated in the Aircraft crash survival design guide (Zimmermann and Merritt, 1989). The maximum nude weight for the UH-60 and AH-64 pilot should be 238.8 pounds. Pilots that weigh more than 238.8 pounds may experience structural failure of the seat during a mishap with survivable X-axis loads in the UH-60 and AH-64 aircraft.

None of the troop or crew seats match the crash performance of the AH-64 or UH-60 pilot seats. Arguably, most soldier passengers spend only a small amount of time in the aircraft and are exposed to only an infrequent risk from these seats. Crew members that must perform frequent flights are at the greatest risk. In this case, there is no clear milestone on which to base a recommended maximum weight for crew or passengers. Everyone is at risk of seat failure in a crash with significant impact forces.

Table 5.

Seat crash strength (pounds) under quasistatic load.

| | Type seat | Rotary-wing | | | | | Fixed-wing | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| | | CH-47 | UH-1 | OH-58 | OH-6 | UH-60 | AH-64 | OV-1 | C-12/U-21 |
| Seat weight (lbs) | Pilot | 30 | 135 | a | a | 115 | 138 | 175 | 30 |
| | Crew | 10 | 12 | a | a | 18 | | | 20 |
| | Troop | 10 | 10 | NA | NA | 15 | | | 20 |
| X-axis load (lbs) | Pilot | 2760 | 6000 | 4200 | 3400 | 13002 | 13807 | 16480 | 2760 |
| | Crew | 3900 | 1680 | 3000 | 3000 | 6000 ^b | | | 2640 |
| | Troop | 2000 | 2000 ^c | NA | NA | 6000 ^b | | | 2640 |
| Y-axis load (lbs) | Pilot | 2760 | 3000 | 2100 | 1700 | 7430 | | 8000 | 700 |
| | Crew | 2000 | 1680 | 2000 | 1700 | 3000 ^b | | | 700 |
| | Troop | 2770 | 2200 ^c | NA | NA | 3000 ^b | | | 700 |
| Z-axis load (lbs) | Pilot | 2760 | 2200 ^d | 2200 ^d | 2200 ^d | 2929 ^e | 3640 ^e | 5000 | 1200 |
| | Crew | 2200 ^f | 2200 ^f | 5000 ^d | 2200 ^d | 2929 ^e | | | |
| | Troop | 2200 ^f | 2200 ^f | NA | NA | 2929 ^e | | | |

^a Seat is integral to aircraft structure^b Exact strength unknown since seats did not pass dynamic qualification tests^c Side facing troop seat^d Net cushion "bottoms out" on seat frame^e 12-inch stroke results in 14.5 G for 170.5-pound occupant^f Cloth seat rips apart

References: Aerojet-General, 1966; Department of Defense, 1957 and 1965; Desjardin et al., 1989; New, 1974; U.S. Army Research and Development Command, 1980; and U.S. Army Transportation Research Command, 1962

Table 6.

Load factors (G) for static seat tests
(Department of Defense, 1986; Desjardins et al., 1989).

| Loading direction | Pilot seats | Crew & troop seats |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Forward (X) | 35 | 30 |
| Lateral (Y) | 20 | 20 |
| Downward (Z) | 25 | 25 |

Table 7.

Maximum soldier weight (pounds) for aircraft seats.

| Aircraft and seat | Seat weight | Strength | Load Factor (G _x) | Calculated maximum weight* |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| CH-47 pilot | 30 | 2760 | 35 | 31.1 |
| CH-47 crew | 10 | 3900 | 30 | 102.3 |
| CH-47 troop | 10 | 2000 | 30 | 39.0 |
| UH-1 pilot | 135 | 6000 | 35 | 153.7** |
| UH-1 crew | 12 | 1680 | 30 | 26.3 |
| UH-1 troop | 10 | 2000 | 30 | 39.0 |
| OH-58 pilot | integral | 4200 | 35 | 102.3 |
| OH-6 pilot | integral | 3400 | 35 | 79.4 |
| UH-60 pilot | 115 | 13002 | 35 | 238.8 |
| UH-60 crew | 18 | 6000 | 30 | 164.3 |
| UH-60 troop | 15 | 6000 | 30 | 167.3 |
| AH-64 pilot | 138 | 13807 | 35 | 238.8 |
| OV-1 pilot | 175 | 16480 | 35 | 278.1*** |
| C-12/U-21 pilot | 30 | 2760 | 35 | 31.1 |
| C-12/U-21 crew | 20 | 2640 | 30 | 50.3 |

*(strength/load factor) - (seat weight + 17.7 lbs equipment weight)

** maximum weight based on strength of floor-attached harness strength, seat weight disregarded.

*** based on seat crash strength, actual weight limited to 220 lbs for ejection capability.

Summary

More than 99 percent of Army soldiers and aviators weigh less than 240 pounds and have a waist circumference less than 42.5 inches. Male soldiers are on average 25 percent heavier than female soldiers and average body weight increases with age.

The current flight suit and survival vest will accommodate a waist circumference up to 47 inches. Restraint webbing in UH-60 and AH-64 aircraft should accommodate these individuals.

Pilot seats in the AH-64 and UH-60 are expected to accommodate a 238.8-pound individual with 17.7 additional pounds of clothing and equipment without failure during the static load tests. Seats and aircraft structure from older aircraft will not meet this performance.

Crew and troop seats in all aircraft will not meet the crash performance of the AH-64 and UH-60 pilot seats. All passengers in these seats are at risk of seat failure in severe crashes (greater than 35 G_x).

References

- Aerojet-General Corporation. 1966. UH-1B/D armor seat shells, structural test report. Azusa, CA. Report 3340. December.
- Department of Defense. 1986. Seat system: Crash-resistant, non-ejection, aircrew, general specification for. Washington, DC. MIL-S-58095A(AV). January.
- Department of Defense. 1957. Seat, troop, wall-style, cargo aircraft. Washington, DC. MIL-S-5804. September.
- Department of Defense. 1965. Seat, pilot's adjustable, short range aircraft. Washington, DC. MIL-S-5822.
- Department of the Army. 1986. The Army weight control program. Washington, DC. AR 600-9. September.
- Department of the Army. 1991. The Army weight control program. Washington, DC. Interim Change AR 600-9. November.
- Department of the Army. 1989. Standards of medical fitness. Washington, DC. AR 40-501. May.
- Desjardins, S. P., Zimmermann, R. E., Bolukbasi, A. O., and Merritt, N. A. 1989. Aircraft crash survival design guide. Volume IV-aircraft seats, restraints, litters, and cockpit/cabin delethalization. Fort Eustis, VA: Aviation Applied Technology Directorate. USAAVSCOM TR 89-D-22D.
- Donelson, S. M., and Gordon, C. C. 1991. 1988 anthropometric survey of U.S. Army personnel: Pilot summary statistics. Natick, MA: U.S. Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center. NATICK/TR-91/040.
- Gordon, C. C., Churchill, T., Clauser, C. E., Bradtmiller B., McConville, J. T., Tebbetts, I., and Walker, R. A. 1989. 1988 anthropometric survey of U.S. Army personnel: Methods and summary statistics. Natick, MA: U.S. Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center. NATICK/TR-89/044.
- New, E. 1974. Evaluation of OH-58 seat belt support assembly. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. USAARL LR 74-21-3-3.
- Shannon, S. G., Bruckart, J. E., and Mason, K. T. 1993. Aviation epidemiology data registry: Changes in body weight among U.S. Army aviators 1988-1992. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. Pending.

Schopper, A. W. 1986. Proportions of overall U.S. Army male and female populations eligible for flying duty: Impact of linear anthropometric screening requirements. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. USAARL Report 86-14.

United States Army Research and Development Command. 1980. Seat, crew, armored crashworthy for Black Hawk. Procurement Package 1680-UH-60-000. October.

United States Army Transportation Research Command. 1962. Personnel restraint systems study - HC-1B Chinook. Fort Eustis, VA: AvCir TR 62-26.

Zimmermann, R. E., and Merritt, N. A. 1989. Aircraft crash survival design guide, Volume 1 - design criteria and checklists. Fort Eustis, VA: Aviation Applied Technology Directorate. USAAVSCOM TR 89-D-22A. December.

Initial distribution

Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research,
Development and Engineering Center
ATTN: SATNC-MIL (Documents
Librarian)
Natick, MA 01760-5040

U.S. Army Communications-Electronics
Command
ATTN: AMSEL-RD-ESA-D
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander/Director
U.S. Army Combat Surveillance
and Target Acquisition Lab
ATTN: DELCS-D
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5304

Commander
10th Medical Laboratory
ATTN: Audiologist
APO New York 09180

Naval Air Development Center
Technical Information Division
Technical Support Detachment
Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer, Naval Medical
Research and Development Command
National Naval Medical Center
Bethesda, MD 20814-5044

Deputy Director, Defense Research
and Engineering
ATTN: Military Assistant
for Medical and Life Sciences
Washington, DC 20301-3080

Commander, U.S. Army Research
Institute of Environmental Medicine
Natick, MA 01760

Library
Naval Submarine Medical Research Lab
Box 900, Naval Sub Base
Groton, CT 06349-5900

Director, U.S. Army Human
Engineering Laboratory
ATTN: Technical Library
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
Man-Machine Integration System
Code 602
Naval Air Development Center
Warminster, PA 18974

Commander
Naval Air Development Center
ATTN: Code 602-B (Mr. Brindle)
Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer
Armstrong Laboratory
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433-6573

Director
Army Audiology and Speech Center
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC 20307-5001

Commander, U.S. Army Institute
of Dental Research
ATTN: Jean A. Setterstrom, Ph. D.
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC 20307-5300

Commander, U.S. Army Test
and Evaluation Command
ATTN: AMSTE-AD-H
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Naval Air Systems Command
Technical Air Library 950D
Room 278, Jefferson Plaza II
Department of the Navy
Washington, DC 20361

Director
U.S. Army Ballistic
Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST Tech Reports
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Chemical Defense
ATTN: SGRD-UV-AO
Aberdeen Proving Ground,
MD 21010-5425

Commander, U.S. Army Medical
Research and Development Command
ATTN: SGRD-RMS (Ms. Madigan)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Director
Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
Washington, DC 20307-5100

HQ DA (DASG-PSP-O)
5109 Leesburg Pike
Falls Church, VA 22041-3258

Harry Diamond Laboratories
ATTN: Technical Information Branch
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

U.S. Army Materiel Systems
Analysis Agency
ATTN: AMXSY-PA (Reports Processing)
Aberdeen Proving Ground
MD 21005-5071

U.S. Army Ordnance Center
and School Library
Simpson Hall, Building 3071
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

U.S. Army Environmental
Hygiene Agency
Building E2100
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Technical Library Chemical Research
and Development Center
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
21010--5423

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Infectious Disease
SGRD-UIZ-C
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Director, Biological
Sciences Division
Office of Naval Research
600 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Commander
U.S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCDE-XS
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Commandant
U.S. Army Aviation
Logistics School ATTN: ATSQ-TDN
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Headquarters (ATMD)
U.S. Army Training
and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-M
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

Structures Laboratory Library
USARTL-AVSCOM
NASA Langley Research Center
Mail Stop 266
Hampton, VA 23665

Naval Aerospace Medical
Institute Library
Building 1953, Code 03L
Pensacola, FL 32508-5600

Command Surgeon
HQ USCENTCOM (CCSG)
U.S. Central Command
MacDill Air Force Base FL 33608

Air University Library
(AUL/LSE)
Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112

U.S. Air Force Institute
of Technology (AFIT/LDEE)
Building 640, Area B
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433

Henry L. Taylor
Director, Institute of Aviation
University of Illinois-Willard Airport
Savoy, IL 61874

Chief, National Guard Bureau
ATTN: NGB-ARS (COL Urbauer)
Room 410, Park Center 4
4501 Ford Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22302-1451

Commander
U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command
ATTN: SGRD-UAX-AL (LTC Gillette)
4300 Goodfellow Blvd., Building 105
St. Louis, MO 63120

U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command
Library and Information Center Branch
ATTN: AMSAV-DIL
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120

Federal Aviation Administration
Civil Aeromedical Institute
Library AAM-400A
P.O. Box 25082
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

Commander
U.S. Army Academy
of Health Sciences
ATTN: Library
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Commander
U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research
ATTN: SGRD-USM (Jan Duke)
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200

AAMRL/HEX
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433

John A. Dellinger,
Southwest Research Institute
P. O. Box 28510
San Antonio, TX 78284

Product Manager
Aviation Life Support Equipment
ATTN: AMCPM-ALSE
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander
U.S. Army Aviation
Systems Command
ATTN: AMSAV-ED
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120

Commanding Officer
Naval Biodynamics Laboratory
P.O. Box 24907
New Orleans, LA 70189-0407

Assistant Commandant
U.S. Army Field Artillery School
ATTN: Morris Swott Technical Library
Fort Sill, OK 73503-0312

Commander
U.S. Army Aviation Center
Directorate of Combat Developments
Building 507
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground
Technical Library, Building 5330
Dugway, UT 84022

U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground
Technical Library
Yuma, AZ 85364

AFFTC Technical Library
6510 TW/TSTL
Edwards Air Force Base,
CA 93523-5000

Commander
Code 3431
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555

Aeromechanics Laboratory
U.S. Army Research and Technical Labs
Ames Research Center, M/S 215-1
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Sixth U.S. Army
ATTN: SMA
Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

Commander
U.S. Army Aeromedical Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Air Force School
of Aerospace Medicine
Strughold Aeromedical Library Technical
Reports Section (TSKD)
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5301

Dr. Diane Damos
Department of Human Factors
ISSM, USC
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0021

U.S. Army White Sands
Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-IM-ST
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

U.S. Army Aviation Engineering
Flight Activity
ATTN: SAVTE-M (Tech Lib) Stop 217
Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-5000

Ms. Sandra G. Hart
Ames Research Center
MS 262-3
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Commander, Letterman Army Institute
of Research
ATTN: Medical Research Library
Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Materiel
Development Activity
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5009

Commander
U.S. Army Health Services Command
ATTN: HSOP-SO
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000

U. S. Army Research Institute
Aviation R&D Activity
ATTN: PERI-IR
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
U.S. Army Safety Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Army Aircraft Development
Test Activity
ATTN: STEBG-MP-P
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander U.S. Army Medical Research
and Development Command
ATTN: SGRD-PLC (COL Schnakenberg)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

MAJ John Wilson
TRADOC Aviation LO
Embassy of the United States
APO New York 09777

Netherlands Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

British Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Italian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Directorate of Training Development
Building 502
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief
USAHEL/USAAVNC Field Office
P. O. Box 716
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5349

Commander U.S. Army Aviation Center
and Fort Rucker
ATTN: ATZQ-CG
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief
Test & Evaluation Coordinating Board
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

MAJ Terry Newman
Canadian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

German Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

LTC Patrice Cottebrune
French Army Liaison Office
USAAVNC (Building 602)
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5021

Australian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Garrison Rapmund
6 Burning Tree Court
Bethesda, MD 20817

Commandant, Royal Air Force
Institute of Aviation Medicine
Farnborough Hampshire GU14 6SZ UK

Commander
U.S. Army Biomedical Research
and Development Laboratory
ATTN: SGRD-UBZ-I
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Defense Technical Information
Cameron Station, Building 5
Alexandra, VA 22304-6145

Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science
and Technology Center
AIFRTA (Davis)
220 7th Street, NE
Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Director,
Applied Technology Laboratory
USARTL-AVSCOM
ATTN: Library, Building 401
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Commander, U.S. Air Force
Development Test Center
101 West D Avenue, Suite 117
Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542-5495

Commander, U.S. Army Missile
Command
Redstone Scientific Information Center
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R
/ILL Documents
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

Dr. H. Dix Christensen
Bio-Medical Science Building, Room 753
Post Office Box 26901
Oklahoma City, OK 73190

Director
Army Personnel Research Establishment
Farnborough, Hants GU14 6SZ UK

U.S. Army Research and Technology
Laboratories (AVSCOM)
Propulsion Laboratory MS 302-2
NASA Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, OH 44135

COL John F. Glenn
U.S. Army Medical Research
& Development Command
SGRD-ZC
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Dr. Eugene S. Channing
7985 Schooner Court
Frederick, MD 21701-3273

LTC Gaylord Lindsey (5)
USAMRDC Liaison at Academy
of Health Sciences
ATTN: HSHA-ZAC-F
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Aviation Medicine Clinic
TMC #22, SAAF
Fort Bragg, NC 28305

Dr. A. Kornfield, President
Biosearch Company
3016 Revere Road
Drexel Hill, PA 29026

NVEOD
AMSEL-RD-ASID
(Attn: Trang Bui)
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

CA Av Med
HQ DAAC
Middle Wallop
Stockbridge Hants S020 8DY UK

Commander and Director
USAE Waterways Experiment Station
ATTN: CEWES-IM-MI-R
Alfrieda S. Clark, CD Dept.
3909 Halls Ferry Road
Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

Mr. Peter Seib
Human Engineering Crew Station
Box 266
Westland Helicopters Limited
Yeovil, Somerset BA202YB UK

Dr. Christine Schlichting
Behavioral Sciences Department
Box 900, NAVUBASE NLON
Groton, CT 06349-5900

COL C. Fred Tyner
U.S. Army Medical Research
& Development Command
SGRD-ZB
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Brazilian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362